UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Mario Lopez-Gomez	Case Number:	11-6591M
present and wa			was held on November 28, 2011. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
FINDINGS OF FACT I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:			
		Inited States or lawfully adr	nitted for normanent residence
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal hi	story.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applic substantial family ties to Mexico.	ant but has no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
\boxtimes	There is a record of the defendant's f	ailure to comply with Court	ordered supervision.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of y	ears imprisonment.
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Courat the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condition of condition of conditions.		the appearance of the defendant as required.
a corrections for appeal. The door the United S	efendant is committed to the custody of acility separate, to the extent practicable efendant shall be afforded a reasonable states or on request of an attorney for the ne United States Marshal for the purpor	the Attorney General or his/ e, from persons awaiting or se e opportunity for private cons e Government, the person ir	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour or charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
IT IS C deliver a copy Court.	DRDERED that should an appeal of this	detention order be filed with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS F Services suffic	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ciently in advance of the hearing before a potential third party custodian.	o a third party is to be conside the District Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	ED this 29 th day of November,	2011.	
		_	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge